

N/A

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD
---------------------------------------

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Unsatisfactory	Excellent	N/A
2004			

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM **High Schools with Our School** Students Like Ours 2002 Percent 2001 2003 2001 2002 2003 Passed all 3 subtests 39.2 40.0 56.8 48.2 42.1 45.8 Passed 2 subtests 25.5 24.4 9.8 21.6 20.6 25.3 Passed 1 subtest 21.8 2.7 18.7 15.7 21.6 16.5 Passed no subtests 29.4 12.7 18.9 14.7 14.7 12.5

	Exit Exam Rate by Sp		Eligibility Scholar		Graduati	on Rate
	n	%	n	%	n	%
All Students	40	80.0	39	2.6	47	68.1
Gender						
Male	17	76.5	16	0.0	21	66.7
Female	23	82.6	23	4.3	26	69.2
Race or Ethnic Group						
African American	38	81.6	38	2.6	44	70.5
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
White	2	I/S	1	I/S	3	I/S
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Disability Status						
Non-speech disabilities	3	I/S	3	I/S	7	42.9
Students without disabilities	37	81.1	36	2.8	40	72.5
Migrant Status						
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	39	2.6	0	N/A
English Proficiency						
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-LEP	40	80.0	39	2.6	47	68.1
Lunch Status						
Subsidized meals	34	79.4	32	0.0	41	65.9
Full-pay meals	6	83.3	7	14.3	6	83.3
n = number of students on which per	centage is calc	ulated				

Percent of Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours

Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at 2.6 2.0 four-year institutions\*

Seniors who met the SAT requirement 2.6 3.4 Seniors who met the grade point average 20.5 28.5

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Bowman High 3805010

School Profile						
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School		
Students (n= 413)						
Retention rate Attendance rate	N/A 95.4%	N/A Down from 95.8%	7.9% 94.5%	7.3% 95.5%		
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	1.9% 16.9%	Up from 0.6% Up from 15.6%	1.9% 16.5%	5.1% 12.2%		
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	11.1% 0.7%	Up from 10.5% Down from 6.9%	19.3% 1.4%	10.1% 2.3%		
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	3.8% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A		
Annual dropout rate	0.8%	Down from 1.9%	2.0%	2.7%		
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	4.0%	3.2%		
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	er 85	Down from 108	182	433		
Students participating in worked-based experiences	4.8%	Down from 10.7%	14.8%	26.3%		
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	70.1%	Up from 62.7%	67.5%	74.9%		
Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	No change	95.7%	99.5%		
Teachers (n= 36)						
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	58.3% 75.0%	Up from 50.0% Up from 70.0%	53.3% 78.9%	51.7% 81.8%		
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A r 76.2%	N/A Down from 81.7%	N/A 77.7%	N/A 85.1%		
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.6% \$41,257	Down from 96.8% Down 2.4%	94.8% \$39,544	95.8% \$40,303		
Prof. development days/teacher	11.6 days	Up from 6.3 days	10.2 days	10.3 days		
School						
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	2.0 7.5 to 1	Up from 1.0 Down from 22.4 to 1	2.0 20.4 to 1	3.0 26.2 to 1		
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	88.2% \$6,979	Down from 91.7% Up 12.3%	87.3% \$8,546	90.1% \$6,279		
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	54.6% Good	Down from 55.9% No change	54.6% Good	57.8% Excellent		
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	95.5% no	Down from 96.2% N/A	73.1% yes	87.8% yes		
* Prior year audited financial data are reported	110		,55	,00		

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

## **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Bowman High 3805010

## REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Bowman Middle/High School is a rural Title I school. The school is comprised of approximately 454 students with 202 students in grades 6-8 and 252 students in grades 9-12. The student body is composed of approximately 97% African-American and 3% white. The faculty is made up of educators who strive to create an environment where all students can excel.

Approximately 90 students in grades 6-8 are on Academic Improvement Plans (AIPS) as required by the State Department of Education for students performing below grade level in math and/or English/language arts on the Palmetto Achievement Challenge Test (PACT). Middle School students on AIPS receive extended instruction during the day as well as during after-school programs. A homework center is also available for students who need extra assistance.

Our school-wide goals are to improve students' academic achievement and prepare students for higher education. Career planning helps students choose appropriate post-secondary education to meet their goals. We encourage students to acquire occupational skills to better equip them to successfully enter the job market. Specialized career training is available to students at the district Technology Center located in Orangeburg. Students participate in service learning and school-to-work activities.

The administration, faculty, and staff continuously strive to improve the academic achievement of all students, to increase parental participation and community involvement, and to provide a student-centered learning environment. Programs have been implemented to provide students with academic success: Accelerated Reader, Accelerated Math, Skills Tutorial, PLATO, STAR Reading, and Kaplan SAT Prep. Computer labs assist with learning by providing students with access to current technology. Parents are encouraged to remain involved through quarterly parent-teacher conferences, advisory council meetings, school improvement council meetings, parent night activities, and volunteer programs.

As a part of our reform efforts, the school has implemented ongoing staff development. Curriculum Mapping, Differentiated Classroom Instruction, Writing Across the Curriculum, and a revised school-wide Reading Renaissance program are some of the strategies we have initiated.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students	Parents			
Number of surveys returned	32	63	19			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	48.4%	65.1%	52.6%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	40.6%	65.1%	52.6%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	39.3%	77.4%	63.2%			

## DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.